

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/730,412 | 12/08/2003 | Mark A. Ealey | XIN-101J | 2452 |
| 7590 03/24/2005 | | | EXAMINER | |
| Iandiorio & Teska 260 Bear Hill Road Waltham, MA 02451-1018 | | | SHAFER, RICKY D | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2872 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 03/24/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/730,412 Page 2

Art Unit: 2872

DETAILED ACTION

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Laakmann (*234).

Laakmann discloses an actuator mirror comprising an optical substrate (69) including a mirror surface (66) on one side and a support structure (24) on the other; and a plurality of actuators (115-119 and 124-127) for controllably altering the shape of said mirror surface (see column 1, line 33 to column 2, line 6), wherein said actuators are embedded in said support and generally parallel to the mirror surface for apply bending moments to said mirror surface. Note figures 4 to 6 along with the associated description thereof.

3. Claims 1-3, 5, 7, 9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dongi et al ('519).

Dongi et al discloses an actuator mirror comprising a glass optical substrate (7) including a mirror surface (8) on one side and a support structure (3-6) on the other; and a plurality of actuators (5) for controllably altering the shape of said mirror surface, wherein said actuators are embedded in said support and generally parallel to the mirror surface for apply bending moments to said mirror surface and wherein said support includes an array of intersecting ribs and posts (3) and cathedral ribs (6). Note figures 1 to 5 along with the associated description thereof.

Application/Control Number: 10/730,412 Page 3

Art Unit: 2872

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Laakmann ('234),

or Dongi et al ('519) in view of Shuskus et al ('467).

Laakmann, and Dongi et al each disclose all of the subject matter claimed, note the above

explanation, except for the optical substrate being of silicon carbide.

Shuskus teaches it is well known to use silicon carbide in the same field of endeavor for

the purpose of it's thermal stability characteristics.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to modify the optical substrate of Laakmann or Dongi et al to include silicon

carbide, as taught by Shuskus, in order to increase the mirror's thermal stability to environmental

changes.

6. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Laakmann ('234)

or Dongi et al ('519) in view of Alden et al ('654).

Laakmann and Dongi et al each disclose all of the subject matter claimed, note the above

explanation, except for the actuators being of lead magnesium niobate.

Alden et al teaches it is well known to use a lead magnesium niobate material as actuators

in the same field of endeavor for the purpose of controlling small changes in the shape of a

mirror surface with greater precision.

Application/Control Number: 10/730,412

Art Unit: 2872

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the actuators of Laakmann or Dongi et al to include a lead magnesium niobate material, as taught by Alden et al, in order to increase the mirror's stability by providing a greater control to the shape of the mirror's reflecting surface.

- 7. Claims 6, 8 and 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/730,412

Page 5

Art Unit: 2872

9. Claims 1 and 2 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 3 of copending Application No. 10/946,799. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the present application (10/730,412) discloses no additional invention or discovery other than what is being claimed in copending Application No. 10/946,799 or what would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Copending Application No. 10/946,799 discloses an integrated actuator meniscus mirror comprising an optical substrate including a mirror surface on one side and a support structure on the other; and a plurality of actuators for controllably altering the shape of said mirror surface without a reaction mass, wherein said plurality of actuators are embedded in said support structure spaced from and generally parallel to said mirror surface for applying bending moments to said mirror surface.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ricky D. Shafer whose telephone number is (571) 272-2320. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/730,412

Page 6

Art Unit: 2872

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RDS

March 21, 2005